



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,448	04/15/2004	Stephen Wieland	370020-00025	8327

7590 08/12/2005
William F. Lang, IV
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
44th Floor
600 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

EXAMINER


BENSON, WALTER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2858

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/825,448	WIELAND ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Walter Benson	2858	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 21-23 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 June 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

FINAL ACTION

1. Amendment A, received on 6/09/05, has been entered into record.
2. Claims 1-23 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-16, 18-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by King Et al. (US Patent No. 4,291,302 and King hereinafter).
5. As to claims 1 and 19, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit [col. 1, lines 4-7], the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:
 - a power supply connected in series with a resistor (14,16, Fig. 1; col. 6, lines 7-17);
 - a connection for connecting to the circuit with the load in parallel with the resistor (Fig. 9; col. 14, lines 60-64);

Art Unit: 2858

a voltage sensor connected in series with the resistor (col. 4, lines 64-68 and col. 5, lines 1-2);

passing a current through the circuit and fault monitor [claim 19] (col. 2, lines 37-42)

switching means for opening and closing the connection between the power supply and the resistor and load; whereby a fault within the load will change an equivalent resistance of the load, thereby changing the voltage sensed by the voltage sensor (col. 14, lines 21-30).

6. As to claim 2, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

where the switching means includes a first transistor having an entrance connected to the power supply, and an emitter connected to the resistor (col. 13, lines 36-47).

7. As to claim 3, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

where the first transistor is a PNP transistor (col. 13, lines 18-19).

8. As to claim 4, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

where the switching means includes a second transistor having an entrance connected to the power supply, and an input connected to a switch, with the input of the first transistor connected to the current path controlled by the second transistor (col. 8, lines 36-47).

Art Unit: 2858

9. As to claim 5, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

where the switch is a resistive voltage switch (col. 8, lines 23-25).

10. As to claim 6, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor further comprising:

a pair of resistors connected in series with the power supply and second transistor, with the input of the first transistor being connected between the pair of resistors (col. 8, lines 59-65).

11. As to claim 7, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor further comprising:

a second resistor connected in series with the power supply, the first transistor, and the resistor', the connector for connection with the circuit being disposed between the resistor and the second resistor (col. 11, lines 454-57).

12. As to claim 8, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor further comprising:

a diode connected in series with the power supply, transistor, and resistor, the diode being structured to resist current flow from the resistor towards the power supply (col. 12, lines 46-52).

Art Unit: 2858

13. As to claim 9, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor further comprising:

a capacitor connected in parallel with the load and resistor, and in series with a ground (col. 12, lines 15-17).

14. As to claim 10, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor further comprising:

a capacitor connected in parallel with the voltage sensor and in series with a ground (col. 12, lines 19-23).

15. As to claim 11, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor further comprising:

a Zener diode connected in parallel with the voltage sensor and in series with a ground, the Zener diode being structured to divert current from the voltage sensor if the voltage exceeds a predetermined maximum for the voltage sensor (col. 15, lines 26-34).

16. As to claim 12, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

where the breakdown voltage of the Zener diode is about 5.1 volts (col. 12, lines 23-26).

17. As to claim 13, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

Art Unit: 2858

where the fault monitor is structured to be added to an existing electrical circuit by adding only a single connection to the circuit between the power supply and the load (col. 14, lines 11-15).

18. As to claim 14, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor further comprising:

means for determining whether current is flowing within the load (col. 11, lines 23-26).

19. As to claim 15, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

where the means for determining whether current is flowing within the load include a test switching means connected in series with the resistor and in parallel with the current sensor, in sequence after the first transistor (col. 12, lines 46-52).

20. As to claim 16, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

where the test switching means includes a test transistor having an entrance connected to the power supply, an input connected to a test switch, and an emitter connected to a ground (col. 12, lines 53-55).

21. As to claim 18, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor further comprising:

a resistor between the power supply and the test transistor (col. 13, lines 18-24).

22. As to claim 20, King discloses a fault monitor for an electrical circuit, the circuit having a load, the fault monitor comprising:

where the step of determining whether a failure is present within the load includes determining a number of elements having faults within the load based on the difference between the resulting voltage and an expected voltage (Fig. 9; col. 15, lines 46-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

24. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King in view of Kusko et al. (US Patent No. 5,065,104 and Kusko hereinafter).

Although the system disclosed by King shows substantial features of claimed invention (discussed in the paragraphs above), it fails to disclose:

where the test switch is a pull-down resistor switch.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by King, as evidenced by Kusko.

Kusko discloses a ground fault detector having:

Art Unit: 2858

where the test switch is a pull-down resistor switch (col. 16, lines 44-49).

Given the teaching of Kusko, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying King by employing the well known or conventional features of switch devices, such as disclosed by Kusko, in order to efficiently connect the live terminal of the power supply to the load.

Allowable Subject Matter

25. Claims 21-23 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

26. Applicant's arguments filed 6/09/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

27. In the remarks the applicant argued in substance that:

- (1) King et al. does not disclose any voltage sensor;
- (2) King provides no means of determining the number of bulbs that failed;
- (3) King does not disclose adding only a single connection between fault monitor and load.

28. Examiner respectfully traverse applicants remarks:

As to point (1), see paragraph 5, King discloses a voltage sensor connected in series with the resistor (col. 4, lines 64-68 and col. 5, lines 1-2);

Art Unit: 2858

As to point (2), see paragraph 22, King discloses where the step of determining whether a failure is present within the load includes determining a number of elements having faults within the load based on the difference between the resulting voltage and an expected voltage (Fig. 9; col. 15, lines 46-64);

As to point (3), see paragraph 17, King discloses where the fault monitor is structured to be added to an existing electrical circuit by adding only a single connection to the circuit between the power supply and the load (col. 14, lines 11-15).

29. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2858

Prior Art Made of Record

30. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (note: column 4, lines 41-66)


A. Glaser et al. (US Patent No. 4,297,632) discloses a method and apparatus for sensing lamp failure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter Benson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2227. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Walter Benson
Patent Examiner

August 10, 2005